Euston Communities Representatives Group Actions List Updated following the July 2024 meeting

Al	Action	Who	When	Closed?
No.				
246	DA to clarify the information he is seeking in relation to the use of Mornington Terrace as a construction traffic	DA HS2	20 Dec	Left open at the request of DA A response has previously been sent at action 160: "Responses were sent to DA on 12/9/18 and 29/11/18. In summary:
	route. HS2 to respond	SCS E	6 Jan	The AP3 Environmental Assessment considered three construction scenarios in the Euston area for the period to 2026. In one of these scenarios Mornington Terrace was closed to general traffic." DA to advise if there is a further question on this and provide in writing before ECRG. No further information received. Further to 16 July ECRG this action to remain open and DA to
				forward further questions by email. HS2 to advise 1. Whether an assessment was made of the air quality and other impacts of the work on the up sidings, and if so, provide a copy. 2. If no assessment was made, whether it is HS2's position that no such assessment is required under human rights and other legislation.
				HS2 response:
				We can confirm that an Air Quality Assessment was undertaken, using the construction traffic data as detailed in the Volume 5 ES Appendix SES2 and AP3 TR-001-000 https://assets.publishing.service.gov.uk/government/uploads/system/uploads/attachme

nt data/file/460734/SES2 and AP3 ES Volume 5 Air quality AQ-001-001 AQ-001-002 AQ-001-003 and AQ-001-004 .pdf which includes the use of Mornington Terrace as a construction traffic route, see mapbooks for reference (Page 19 – indicates construction traffic route including Mornington Terrace):

https://assets.publishing.service.gov.uk/government/uploads/system/uploads/attachment data/file/461185/Y30 VOL5 Environmental Topics WATERMARK.pdf

The Traffic Data is from SES2 and Ap3 Environmental Statement Volume 5 Appendix TR-001-000 Annex D https://assets.publishing.service.gov.uk /government/uploads/system/uploads/ attachment data/file/460746/SES2 A P3 ES Volume 5 Transport Assessme nt TR-001-000 Annexes Part 2.pdf

DA clarifying by email the further information he is requesting from HS2.

DA has advised that he would like confirmation that an air quality assessment was carried out for the Network Rail enabling works. HS2 is investigating.

Response sent to DA on 20 November 2020 confirming that all associated works with the project, were considered in the Air Quality Assessment as part of the ES. DA has responded and requested a copy of the air quality assessment.

March 2021 – awaiting report from Environment Team.
02.12.21 LH to check if it is agreed that this action is now closed.

A.I.	Action	Who?	When?	Closed?
No.				
302	With reference to previous actions 262, 274, 282, 290, 294: SCS to issue Adelaide Road ecology survey details and methodology by 30th June 2021. Adelaide Road Workshop to be arranged for August 2021, and NR will be invited to attend.	NG LH - SCS AA- SCS	3 rd August 2021	Nem 18.07.24 to be reviewed in 2026 when the application is being prepared. June note from JT: NR attendance at workshop/meeting was discussed with NR after last ECRG and NR agreed to participate. March 2023 JT has requested that the proposed workshop go ahead before this action is closed. 02.12.21 AA to update response noting the suggestion that an Open Spaces working group could be used to review "net loss" 21.01.22 'No net loss' calculations are representative of the entire route, and incorporate all works across HS2. At Adelaide Road there are additional agreements to consider, in the form of Undertakings & Assurances (U&As) HS2 have made with LB Camden. 'No net loss' will ensure that any vegetation removed at Adelaide Road is accounted for in future landscaping plans, but HS2 must also ensure compliance with additional statements made within the Environment Statement and within the U&As HS2 has agreed to. Where practicable, SCS will provide updates RE: 'no net loss' in relation to the Adelaide Road site, in coordination with the HS2 Environment team. As this links directly to the future replanting strategy, it must be noted there may not be an update upon each occasion of the working group it falls into – as part of the AQTOSWG or a dedicated open spaces group. 30.06.21 - Response to FOI-21-4080 issued, which included Adelaide Road CSJV survey data 2017 – 2020 and the SCSJV tree fell count. 18.08.22 - 'No net loss' is included in AQTOSWG and therefore this action

		should be closed out in ECRG action
		log.

A.I.	Action	Who?	When?	Closed?
No.	Action	Willo.	Wilcii.	ciosca.
326	C: JT commented that green walling was needed at the earliest stage to help screen the facility.	Steve Austin	21 December 2022	Open 18.07.24 to be reviewed in 2026 when the application is being prepared. June note from JT: NR attendance at workshop/meeting was discussed with NR after last ECRG and NR agreed to participate. No details yet given. March 2023 JT requested that precise details are needed regarding green walling feasibility in each location to properly address this Action. 01.12.22 Remain open pending workshop 28.11.22 The Design and Access Statement confirms the functional requirements of the building, such as those relating to ventilation and security, mean it has not been possible to incorporate additional green walls, other than what is proposed on the southern elevation. Additional planting has been confirmed to not be viable on the majority of the headhouse building due to safety parameters regarding the functionality of the chimney. Climbing plants could potentially block the opening of the chimney and catch fire from heat in the event of fire.
350	SCS to share information on noise impacts and mitigation measures (from the conveyor belt).	SCS - NVK		Open Modelling not started but will be provided to ECRG once it has been completed and approved.
357	HS2 to provide JT information on the transfer penalty between HS2 trains at OOC and the Elizabeth Line (see also minute 3.8).	HS2		Closed 29.08.24 this item will be closed although it is noted that JT is unhappy no further information is available from the DfT on this point as he considers it relevant to the Euston business case. 18.07.24 this point has been answered.

			All modelled journey times are from a specific origin and destination point – usually the station entrance. The walk time quoted (13.8 minutes) therefore includes walking time (based on reference assumptions) from OOC to the platform and the walk from the platform at Bond Street to the street. The 'via Euston' time quoted (16.7 minutes) includes the same, as well as the additional walk from the platform at Euston to London Underground. If only the walk time at OOC was included this would effectively only provide the journey time to the Elizabeth Line platform, rather than to the street.
360	NVK to share the drawings with HGT under minute 6.6 to 6.9 and JT under minute 6.10.	NVK/AA SCS	Open Update NvK 12/3/24 We have now completed the detailed design phase for the Euston Approaches. The design is currently going through the technical assurance process which we aim to complete at the end of 2024. These drawings will not include the contours for the Euston Approaches. The map showing the settlement contours are held on our GIS system which is proving to be difficult to print. We are currently working with our technical team to provide the ECRG with a document in a printable format for the Euston Approaches.
362	HS2 to arrange for contractors to discuss safety on Hampstead Road and Harrington Square this with SC.	NVK SCS	Open