

Euston Communities Representatives Group Actions List
Updated For June 2023 meeting

AI No.	Action	Who	When	Closed?
246	DA to clarify the information he is seeking in relation to the use of Mornington Terrace as a construction traffic route. HS2 to respond	DA HS2 SCS E	20 Dec 6 Jan	<p>Left open at the request of DA</p> <p>A response has previously been sent at action 160: "Responses were sent to DA on 12/9/18 and 29/11/18. In summary: The AP3 Environmental Assessment considered three construction scenarios in the Euston area for the period to 2026. In one of these scenarios Mornington Terrace was closed to general traffic."</p> <p>DA to advise if there is a further question on this and provide in writing before ECRG. No further information received.</p> <p>Further to 16 July ECRG this action to remain open and DA to forward further questions by email. HS2 to advise</p> <p>1. Whether an assessment was made of the air quality and other impacts of the work on the up sidings, and if so, provide a copy. 2. If no assessment was made, whether it is HS2's position that no such assessment is required under human rights and other legislation.</p> <p>HS2 response:</p> <p>We can confirm that an Air Quality Assessment was undertaken, using the construction traffic data as detailed in the Volume 5 ES Appendix SES2 and AP3 TR-001-000 https://assets.publishing.service.gov.uk/government/uploads/system/uploads/attachme</p>

				<p>nt_data/file/460734/SES2_and_AP3_ES_Volume_5_Air_quality_AQ-001-001_AQ-001-002_AQ-001-003_and_AQ-001-004_.pdf</p> <p>which includes the use of Mornington Terrace as a construction traffic route, see mapbooks for reference (Page 19 – indicates construction traffic route including Mornington Terrace):</p> <p>https://assets.publishing.service.gov.uk/government/uploads/system/uploads/attachment_data/file/461185/Y30_VOL5_Environmental_Topics_WATERMARK.pdf</p> <p>The Traffic Data is from SES2 and Ap3 Environmental Statement Volume 5 Appendix TR-001-000 Annex D</p> <p>https://assets.publishing.service.gov.uk/government/uploads/system/uploads/attachment_data/file/460746/SES2_A_P3_ES_Volume_5_Transport_Assessment_TR-001-000_Annexes_Part_2.pdf</p> <p>DA clarifying by email the further information he is requesting from HS2.</p> <p>DA has advised that he would like confirmation that an air quality assessment was carried out for the Network Rail enabling works. HS2 is investigating.</p> <p>Response sent to DA on 20 November 2020 confirming that all associated works with the project, were considered in the Air Quality Assessment as part of the ES. DA has responded and requested a copy of the air quality assessment.</p> <p>March 2021 – awaiting report from Environment Team.</p> <p>02.12.21 LH to check if it is agreed that this action is now closed.</p>
New Actions further to ECRG on 17 June 2021				
A.I. No.	Action	Who?	When?	Closed?
302	With reference to previous actions 262, 274, 282, 290, 294:	NG LH SCS	3 rd August 2021	Open

	SCS to issue Adelaide Road ecology survey details and methodology by 30th June 2021. Adelaide Road Workshop to be arranged for August 2021, and NR will be invited to attend.	AA-SCS		<p>March 2023 JT has requested that the proposed workshop go ahead before this action is closed.</p> <p>02.12.21 AA to update response noting the suggestion that an Open Spaces working group could be used to review "net loss"</p> <p>21.01.22 'No net loss' calculations are representative of the entire route, and incorporate all works across HS2. At Adelaide Road there are additional agreements to consider, in the form of Undertakings & Assurances (U&As) HS2 have made with LB Camden. 'No net loss' will ensure that any vegetation removed at Adelaide Road is accounted for in future landscaping plans, but HS2 must also ensure compliance with additional statements made within the Environment Statement and within the U&As HS2 has agreed to.</p> <p>Where practicable, SCS will provide updates RE: 'no net loss' in relation to the Adelaide Road site, in coordination with the HS2 Environment team. As this links directly to the future replanting strategy, it must be noted there may not be an update upon each occasion of the working group it falls into – as part of the AQTOSWG or a dedicated open spaces group.</p> <p>30.06.21 - Response to FOI-21-4080 issued, which included Adelaide Road CSJV survey data 2017 – 2020 and the SCSJV tree fell count.</p> <p>18.08.22 - 'No net loss' is included in AQTOSWG and therefore this action should be closed out in ECRG action log.</p>
New Actions further to ECRG on 22 March 2022				
A.I. No.	Action	Who?	When?	Closed?
315	AA to respond by email on how the headhouse design is taking account of the Grade	Amy Allen	27 October 2022	<p>Open</p> <p>March 2023 JT has requested that the information about the relationship</p>

	II* Listed tunnel portals.			<p>with the listed portal be provided before this action is closed.</p> <p>Previous response: Local context and materiality were considered in design stages ahead of the Sch. 17 Plans & Specifications application for the Adelaide Road Vent Shaft & Headhouse, including photographic surveys undertaken to help provide examples of the local context, character, materiality and colors. In addition, principal building and paving materials, principal boundary treatments and principal vegetation types were all studied.</p> <p>The materials palette considers the requirements of the Land Design Approach to ensure that the <i>finishes and materials will suit local character</i> and attention to detail is considered to <i>help fit these components in well with particular rural or urban locations</i>.</p> <p>The materials proposed in our design for the Adelaide Road headhouse are timber, metal, concrete and brickwork. We are planning to use similar materials at all headhouses between Euston and West Ruislip. The materials palette has been chosen according to the environment, moving from brick, through metal and towards wood as we move between rural and urban locations.</p>
321	Undertake follow up conversation to confirm practical actions to resolve some of the current operational problems in the Adelaide Road area and conduct a site walk of area (MS/AA).	MS/AA	21 December 2022	<p>In progress</p> <p>March 2023 JT has requested that the action remains open pending further meetings to discuss future impacts. 01.12.22 Agenda and date for meeting to be agreed</p> <p>Meeting dates offered to Jeff Travers. Yet to be convened.</p> <p>22.08.22 operatives were re-briefed about behaviours ahead of the Utilities work commencing, e.g. no parking in local areas, no taking breaks outside</p>

				<p>resident properties etc. Frequent walkarounds were undertaken during the road closure works. In addition, some fly tipped rubbish (broken pallets, bin bags etc.) on Fellows Road was removed by SCS JV as a good will gesture - this rubbish was a H&S risk, impacting the footway and included food waste in hot weather which was attracting vermin. 29.09.22 MS clarified that this action had been to undertake a walkabout of the local area with Gerry O'Connell of the Beaumont Walk TRA and this had taken place on 16 September with LB Camden in attendance. She would be happy to undertake a further walk with JT.</p> <p>21.11.22 AA has offered JT a walkaround before the EOY, to be confirmed by JT if required.</p>
323	MS/AA to urgently convene an update/workshop session on the Adelaide Road design Schedule 17 application. This is to also cover what is included in the application, how comments have been responded to and what will be applied for later. KM to arrange for LB Camden be involved in this review.	MS/AA	21 December 2022	<p>Open</p> <p>March 2023 JT has requested an update as to why this has not taken place.</p> <p>01.12.22 to remain open pending a meeting/workshop to discuss</p> <p>Material shared with residents for the Head House can be found here.</p> <p>Microsoft PowerPoint - 2109 ARVS KDE engagement boards - FINAL (1) (hs2.org.uk)</p> <p>An update on the progress of the application will be provided to JT.</p> <p>18.08.22 - AA can provide update on status of Sch17 P&S application in September meeting, as required.</p> <p>05.10.22 At the time of the ECRG meeting the application has already been submitted to LB Camden and was under consideration.</p>
324	LA commented via the chat: There appears to be nothing about heritage in relation to the design - how that needs to be taken into account. In relation to Adelaide Road for	Martin Short	27 October 2022	Open

	<p>example, this relates to the proximity of the Listed Portal structures - it would be useful to know how the design of the structure responds directly to this important context. There is also an ambition to open up the view to the Primrose Hill Portal as part of the Railway Heritage Trust proposal to have a Brunel Walk - this would be from the road opposite the head house (which would be visible from this view along with the head house). LA also commented: There is a requirement for the setting of a Listed Building to be taken into account - at the moment the Adelaide Road design does not acknowledge this context.</p>			
326	<p>C: JT commented that green walling was needed at the earliest stage to help screen the facility.</p>	<p>Steve Austin</p>	<p>21 December 2022</p>	<p>Open</p> <p>March 2023 JT requested that precise details are needed regarding green walling feasibility in each location to properly address this Action. 01.12.22 Remain open pending workshop</p> <p>28.11.22 The Design and Access Statement confirms the functional requirements of the building, such as those relating to ventilation and security, mean it has not been possible to incorporate additional green walls, other than what is proposed on the southern elevation. Additional planting has been confirmed to not be viable on the majority of the headhouse building due to safety parameters regarding the</p>

				functionality of the chimney. Climbing plants could potentially block the opening of the chimney and catch fire from heat in the event of fire.																					
327	JH asked via the chat: Is there any reason why Gabion baskets cannot be used on the rail side of the building to give wildlife cover, insect and bat boxes etc?	Steve Austin	21 December 2022	Open 01.12.22 remain open pending workshop 28.11.22 Gabion walls are used on other assets for habitat creation within the wider Landscape. However, to the rail side of the proposed Adelaide Road building there are currently no retaining walls in the landscape which could utilise gabion walls.																					
329	SC highlighted the issue of getting to Staveley due to the current works on Varndell Street as an example of the need for a review.	Neil Van Kervel	27 October 2022	Open																					
330	Provide a briefing on SCS's 24/7 works and arrangements to mitigate impacts on residents.	Lee Harman	March 2023	Closed Updating ECRG on 30.03.23																					
331	RL asked that the following information be provided: 1. How many properties in Camden are eligible for settlement deeds? 2. Of these properties, how many owners have requested settlement deeds? 3. Of those owners who have requested settlement deeds, how many have received signed deeds from HS2? 4. How many inspections have been carried out pursuant to these deeds? 5. Of these inspections, how many owners have received a copy of the inspection	Lee Harman	21 December 2022	Open LH to discuss definition of “relevant works” with Robert Latham please Settlement Deeds enquiry responses (Information correct as at 21 October 2022) <table><tr><th>Question</th><th>MDJv</th><th>SCS</th></tr><tr><td>1 How many properties in Camden are eligible for Settlement Deeds?</td><td>141</td><td>3448</td></tr><tr><td>2 Of these properties, how many owners have requested a Settlement Deed?</td><td>13</td><td>331 properties have been offered a deed and 78 have requested deeds</td></tr><tr><td>3 Of those owners who have requested a Settlement Deed, how many have received signed deeds from HS2?</td><td>9</td><td>41 (8 in progress).</td></tr><tr><td>4 How many inspections have been carried out pursuant to these deeds?</td><td>11</td><td>122</td></tr><tr><td>5 Of these inspections, how many owners have received a copy of the inspection report?</td><td>5</td><td>23</td></tr><tr><td>6 When are these owners going to receive the “assessment reports” to which they are entitled pursuant to Clause 2(2) of the deed?</td><td>If we mean ‘Settlement Reports’ then the owners will receive one at least 90 days prior to RC.</td><td>These are issued at least 90 days before the linked excavation/construction/mitigation works start date which updated monthly from our construction programme, as applicable to the individual property and in line with HS2 Information paper C3.</td></tr></table> Response provided to Robert Latham on 21 October 2022	Question	MDJv	SCS	1 How many properties in Camden are eligible for Settlement Deeds?	141	3448	2 Of these properties, how many owners have requested a Settlement Deed?	13	331 properties have been offered a deed and 78 have requested deeds	3 Of those owners who have requested a Settlement Deed, how many have received signed deeds from HS2?	9	41 (8 in progress).	4 How many inspections have been carried out pursuant to these deeds?	11	122	5 Of these inspections, how many owners have received a copy of the inspection report?	5	23	6 When are these owners going to receive the “assessment reports” to which they are entitled pursuant to Clause 2(2) of the deed?	If we mean ‘Settlement Reports’ then the owners will receive one at least 90 days prior to RC.	These are issued at least 90 days before the linked excavation/construction/mitigation works start date which updated monthly from our construction programme, as applicable to the individual property and in line with HS2 Information paper C3.
Question	MDJv	SCS																							
1 How many properties in Camden are eligible for Settlement Deeds?	141	3448																							
2 Of these properties, how many owners have requested a Settlement Deed?	13	331 properties have been offered a deed and 78 have requested deeds																							
3 Of those owners who have requested a Settlement Deed, how many have received signed deeds from HS2?	9	41 (8 in progress).																							
4 How many inspections have been carried out pursuant to these deeds?	11	122																							
5 Of these inspections, how many owners have received a copy of the inspection report?	5	23																							
6 When are these owners going to receive the “assessment reports” to which they are entitled pursuant to Clause 2(2) of the deed?	If we mean ‘Settlement Reports’ then the owners will receive one at least 90 days prior to RC.	These are issued at least 90 days before the linked excavation/construction/mitigation works start date which updated monthly from our construction programme, as applicable to the individual property and in line with HS2 Information paper C3.																							

	report? 6. When are these owners going to receive the "assessment reports" to which they are entitled pursuant to Clause 2(2) of the deed?			
332	Confirm tunnel design is now complete.	RC		Closed Confirmed
333	Confirm position for oversite development at April meeting.	LW		Closed This will be determined when the design recommences
334	Regarding Granby Terrace consider if there is a more convenient pedestrian route to Hampstead Road.	RC		Open
335	Confirm if the Silverdale bus stop on Hampstead Road will be reinstated.	LH		Open