

Euston Communities Representatives Group Actions List
Updated following the September 2022 meeting

AI No.	Action	Who	When	Closed?
246	DA to clarify the information he is seeking in relation to the use of Mornington Terrace as a construction traffic route. HS2 to respond	DA HS2 SCS E	20 Dec 6 Jan	<p>Left open at the request of DA</p> <p>A response has previously been sent at action 160: “Responses were sent to DA on 12/9/18 and 29/11/18. In summary: The AP3 Environmental Assessment considered three construction scenarios in the Euston area for the period to 2026. In one of these scenarios Mornington Terrace was closed to general traffic.”</p> <p>DA to advise if there is a further question on this and provide in writing before ECRG. No further information received.</p> <p>Further to 16 July ECRG this action to remain open and DA to forward further questions by email. HS2 to advise</p> <p>1. Whether an assessment was made of the air quality and other impacts of the work on the up sidings, and if so, provide a copy. 2. If no assessment was made, whether it is HS2's position that no such assessment is required under human rights and other legislation.</p> <p>HS2 response:</p> <p>We can confirm that an Air Quality Assessment was undertaken, using the construction traffic data as detailed in the Volume 5 ES Appendix SES2 and AP3 TR-001-000 https://assets.publishing.service.gov.uk/government/uploads/system/uploads/attachment_data/file/460734/SES2_and_AP3_ES_Volume_5_Air_quality_AQ-001-001_AQ-001-</p>

				<p>002 AQ-001-003 and AQ-001-004 .pdf which includes the use of Mornington Terrace as a construction traffic route, see mapbooks for reference (Page 19 – indicates construction traffic route including Mornington Terrace): https://assets.publishing.service.gov.uk/government/uploads/system/uploads/attachment_data/file/461185/Y30_VOL5_Environmental_Topics_WATERMARK.pdf</p> <p>The Traffic Data is from SES2 and Ap3 Environmental Statement Volume 5 Appendix TR-001-000 Annex D https://assets.publishing.service.gov.uk/government/uploads/system/uploads/attachment_data/file/460746/SES2_A_P3_ES_Volume_5_Transport_Assessment_TR-001-000_Annexes_Part_2.pdf</p> <p>DA clarifying by email the further information he is requesting from HS2.</p> <p>DA has advised that he would like confirmation that an air quality assessment was carried out for the Network Rail enabling works. HS2 is investigating.</p> <p>Response sent to DA on 20 November 2020 confirming that all associated works with the project, were considered in the Air Quality Assessment as part of the ES. DA has responded and requested a copy of the air quality assessment.</p> <p>March 2021 – awaiting report from Environment Team. 02.12.21 LH to check if it is agreed that this action is now closed.</p>
New Actions further to ECRG on 17 June 2021				
A.I. No.	Action	Who?	When?	Closed?
302	With reference to previous actions 262, 274, 282, 290, 294: SCS to issue Adelaide Road ecology survey details and	NG LH SCS AA-SCS	3 rd August 2021	<p>Closed</p> <p>02.12.21 AA to update response noting the suggestion that an Open Spaces working group could be used to review “net loss”</p> <p>21.01.22 ‘No net loss’ calculations are representative of the entire route, and</p>

	methodology by 30th June 2021. Adelaide Road Workshop to be arranged for August 2021, and NR will be invited to attend.			<p>incorporate all works across HS2. At Adelaide Road there are additional agreements to consider, in the form of Undertakings & Assurances (U&As) HS2 have made with LB Camden. 'No net loss' will ensure that any vegetation removed at Adelaide Road is accounted for in future landscaping plans, but HS2 must also ensure compliance with additional statements made within the Environment Statement and within the U&As HS2 has agreed to.</p> <p>Where practicable, SCS will provide updates RE: 'no net loss' in relation to the Adelaide Road site, in coordination with the HS2 Environment team. As this links directly to the future replanting strategy, it must be noted there may not be an update upon each occasion of the working group it falls into – as part of the AQTOSWG or a dedicated open spaces group.</p> <p>30.06.21 - Response to FOI-21-4080 issued, which included Adelaide Road CSJV survey data 2017 – 2020 and the SCSJV tree fell count.</p> <p>18.08.22 - 'No net loss' is included in AQTOSWG and therefore this action should be closed out in ECRG action log.</p>
New Actions further to ECRG on 22 March 2022				
A.I. No.	Action	Who?	When?	Closed?
315	AA to respond by email on how the headhouse design is taking account of the Grade II* Listed tunnel portals.	Amy Allen	27 October 2022	<p>Closed</p> <p>Local context and materiality were considered in design stages ahead of the Sch. 17 Plans & Specifications application for the Adelaide Road Vent Shaft & Headhouse, including photographic surveys undertaken to help provide examples of the local context, character, materiality and colors. In addition, principal building and paving materials, principal boundary treatments and principal vegetation types were all studied.</p>

				<p>The materials palette considers the requirements of the Land Design Approach to ensure that the <i>finishes and materials will suit local character</i> and attention to detail is considered to <i>help fit these components in well with particular rural or urban locations.</i></p> <p>The materials proposed in our design for the Adelaide Road headhouse are timber, metal, concrete and brickwork. We are planning to use similar materials at all headhouses between Euston and West Ruislip. The materials palette has been chosen according to the environment, moving from brick, through metal and towards wood as we move between rural and urban locations.</p>
New Actions further to ECRG on 14 June 2022				
A.I. No.	Action	Who?	When?	Closed Off?
321	Undertake follow up conversation to confirm practical actions to resolve some of the current operational problems in the Adelaide Road area and conduct a site walk of area (MS/AA).	MS/AA		<p>In progress</p> <p>Meeting dates offered to Jeff Travers. Yet to be convened.</p> <p>22.08.22 operatives were re-briefed about behaviours ahead of the Utilities work commencing, e.g. no parking in local areas, no taking breaks outside resident properties etc. Frequent walkarounds were undertaken during the road closure works. In addition, some fly tipped rubbish (broken pallets, bin bags etc.) on Fellows Road was removed by SCS JV as a good will gesture - this rubbish was a H&S risk, impacting the footway and included food waste in hot weather which was attracting vermin. 29.09.22 MS clarified that this action had been to undertake a walkabout of the local area with Gerry O'Connell of the Beaumont Walk TRA and this had taken place on 16 September with LB Camden in attendance. She would be happy to undertake a further walk with JT.</p>

				21.11.22 AA has offered JT a workaround before the EOY, to be confirmed by JT if required.
323	MS/AA to urgently convene an update/workshop session on the Adelaide Road design Schedule 17 application. This is to also cover what is included in the application, how comments have been responded to and what will be applied for later. KM to arrange for LB Camden be involved in this review.	MS/AA		Closed Material shared with residents for the Head House can be found here. Microsoft PowerPoint - 2109 ARVS KDE engagement boards - FINAL (1) (hs2.org.uk) An update on the progress of the application will be provided to JT. 18.08.22 - AA can provide update on status of Sch17 P&S application in September meeting, as required. 05.10.22 At the time of the ECRG meeting the application has already been submitted to LB Camden and was under consideration.

New Actions further to ECRG on 29 September 2022

A.I. No.	Action	Who?	When?	Closed Off?
324	LA commented via the chat: There appears to be nothing about heritage in relation to the design - how that needs to be taken into account. In relation to Adelaide Road for example, this relates to the proximity of the Listed Portal structures - it would be useful to know how the design of the structure responds directly to this important context. There is also an ambition to open up the view to the Primrose Hill Portal as part of the Railway Heritage Trust proposal to have a Brunel Walk - this would be from the road opposite the head house (which would be visible from this view along with the head house). LA also	Martin Short	27 October 2022	Open

	commented: There is a requirement for the setting of a Listed Building to be taken into account - at the moment the Adelaide Road design does not acknowledge this context.			
325	JH commented via the chat: How much light spillage will there be on the Adelaide Road elevation that would not be there if the wall were solid.	Steve Austin/ Amy Allen	27 October 2022	<p>Closed</p> <p>It is stated in Sch. 17 Plans & Specifications application for the Adelaide Road Vent Shaft & Headhouse RE: lighting - <i>Under normal operating conditions, the compound will not be illuminated in order to minimise lighting pollution, except for the compound entry and key access points to the vent shaft headhouse building. These areas will be permanently lit throughout night-time period to ensure a minimum illumination level of 5 lux.</i></p> <p>This aligns with the Key Design Element Engagement presentation boards from September 2021 – see page 8 for proposed lighting design and visualisations https://assets.hs2.org.uk/wp-content/uploads/2021/09/2109-ARVS-KDE-A0-engagement-boards-final.pdf</p>
326	C: JT commented that green walling was needed at the earliest stage to help screen the facility.	Steve Austin	27 October 2022	Open
327	JH asked via the chat: Is there any reason why Gabion baskets cannot be used on the rail side of the building to give wildlife cover, insect and bat boxes etc?	Steve Austin	27 October 2022	Open
328	How often would the external lights be on? A: Only when maintenance is being carried out which was expected to be	Steve Austin	27 October 2022	Open

	infrequent. Further detailed response required.			
329	SC highlighted the issue of getting to Staveley due to the current works on Varndell Street as an example of the need for a review.	Neil Van Kervel	27 October 2022	Open
330	Provide a briefing on SCS's 24/7 works and arrangements to mitigate impacts on residents.	Lee Harman	March 2023	Open
331	RL asked that the following information be provided: 1. How many properties in Camden are eligible for settlement deeds? 2. Of these properties, how many owners have requested settlement deeds? 3. Of those owners who have requested settlement deeds, how many have received signed deeds from HS2? 4. How many inspections have been carried out pursuant to these deeds? 5. Of these inspections, how many owners have received a copy of the inspection report? 6. When are these owners going to receive the "assessment reports" to which they are entitled pursuant to Clause 2(2) of the deed?	Lee Harman	27 October 2022	Open